



On the semi-continuity of generalized inverses in Banach algebras[☆]

Qianglian Huang^{a,*}, Jipu Ma^b

^a College of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China

^b Yuan-Yung Tseng Functional Analysis Research Center, Harbin Normal University, Harbin 150025, China

Received 20 October 2005; accepted 14 April 2006

Available online 16 June 2006

Submitted by H. Schneider

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to study the continuity of several kinds of generalized inverses of elements in a Banach algebra with identity. We first obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for the lower semi-continuity of reflexive generalized inverses as set-valued mappings. Based on this result, we characterize the continuity of the Moore–Penrose inverse in a C^* -algebra and therefore, derive some new and well-known criteria in operator theory.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

AMS classification: 15A09; 46H05; 47H05

Keywords: Reflexive generalized inverse; Moore–Penrose inverse; Banach algebra; C^* -algebra; Lower semi-continuity

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra with identity element e . We call an element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ (*von Neumann*) *regular* if $a \in a\mathfrak{A}a$, give it a *generalized inverse* $b \in \mathfrak{A}$ for which $a = aba$. If

$$a = aba \quad \text{and} \quad b = bab,$$

[☆] This research is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (10571150) and (10271053).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: qlhmath@yahoo.com.cn (Q. Huang), jipuma@126.com (J. Ma).

then b is called a *reflexive generalized inverse* of a . We always write a^+ for a reflexive generalized inverse of a . If \mathfrak{A} is a C^* -algebra. An element $a^\dagger \in \mathfrak{A}$ is called the Moore–Penrose inverse of $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ if

$$a = aa^\dagger a, \quad a^\dagger = a^\dagger aa^\dagger, \quad (aa^\dagger)^* = aa^\dagger, \quad (a^\dagger a)^* = a^\dagger a.$$

Recall that every regular element has the Moore–Penrose inverse and the Moore–Penrose inverse is uniquely determined if it exists. However, the reflexive generalized inverse is not unique in general. This leads us to investigate the semi-continuity of reflexive generalized inverses as set-valued mapping. It seems to be the first time that the semi-continuity of reflexive generalized inverses as a set-valued mapping is studied. In Section 2, utilizing the method developed in [8,11], we obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for the lower semi-continuity of reflexive generalized inverses as set-valued mapping in a Banach algebra. Using this result, we study the continuity of the Moore–Penrose inverses which has been studied in [6,7,9,13,14]. We characterize the continuity of the Moore–Penrose inverse in a C^* -algebra and derive continuity criteria which unify and generalize the well-known criteria from operator theory [1–5,8,10–12,15].

2. Lower semi-continuity of the reflexive generalized inverse in Banach algebras

In this section, \mathfrak{A} denotes a Banach algebra with identity element e . To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas. Unless other specified, letters a, b and c denote elements in \mathfrak{A} .

Lemma 2.1. *Let a^+ be a reflexive generalized inverse of a . Then aa^+ and a^+a are idempotents with $a\mathfrak{A} = aa^+\mathfrak{A}$ and $(e - a^+a)\mathfrak{A} = a^{-1}(0)$, where $a\mathfrak{A} = \{ab : b \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ and $a^{-1}(0) = \{x \in \mathfrak{A} : ax = 0\}$.*

Proof. It is easily checked. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If $c = cac$. Then c is a reflexive generalized inverse of a if and only if $a\mathfrak{A} \cap c^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. If c is a reflexive generalized inverse of a . Take any $y \in a\mathfrak{A} \cap c^{-1}(0)$, then $y = ax$ and $cax = cy = 0$ where $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. Hence, $y = ax = acax = 0$. This implies $a\mathfrak{A} \cap c^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Conversely, if $a\mathfrak{A} \cap c^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Note that $a - aca = a(e - ca) \in a\mathfrak{A}$ and $a - aca \in c^{-1}(0)$ since $c(a - aca) = ca - caca = 0$, we conclude that $a = aca$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.3. *If a^+ is a reflexive generalized inverse of a and $\|b - a\| \cdot \|a^+\| < 1$. Then*

$$s = [e + a^+(b - a)]^{-1}a^+ = a^+[e + (b - a)a^+]^{-1}$$

satisfies $s = sbs$, $s\mathfrak{A} = a^+\mathfrak{A}$ and $s^{-1}(0) = (a^+)^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. Since $\|b - a\| \cdot \|a^+\| < 1$, $e + a^+(b - a)$ and $e + (b - a)a^+$ are invertible and a direct computation can give $[e + a^+(b - a)]^{-1}a^+ = a^+[e + (b - a)a^+]^{-1}$. Put $s = [e + a^+(b - a)]^{-1}a^+ = a^+[e + (b - a)a^+]^{-1}$. Obviously, $s\mathfrak{A} = a^+\mathfrak{A}$ and $s^{-1}(0) = (a^+)^{-1}(0)$. Let $d = e + a^+(b - a)$. Then $a^+ad = a^+a[e + a^+(b - a)] = a^+a + a^+aa^+b - a^+aa^+a = a^+b$ which implies $a^+bd^{-1} = a^+a$. Hence, $sbs = d^{-1}a^+bd^{-1}a^+ = d^{-1}a^+aa^+ = d^{-1}a^+ = s$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.4. If a^+ is a reflexive generalized inverse of a and $\|b - a\| \cdot \|a^+\| < 1$. Then $s = [e + a^+(b - a)]^{-1}a^+$ is a reflexive generalized inverse of b if and only if $b\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. \square

Lemma 2.5. If p and q are idempotents in \mathfrak{S} with $\|p - q\| < 1$. Then $pq\mathfrak{S} = p\mathfrak{S}$.

Proof. It suffices to show $p\mathfrak{S} \subset pq\mathfrak{S}$. Note $\|p - q\| < 1$, so $e - (p - q)$ is invertible. Hence, for any $x \in \mathfrak{S}$, $px = p[e - (p - q)][e - (p - q)]^{-1}x = pq[e - (p - q)]^{-1}x \in pq\mathfrak{S}$. The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.1. If a^+ is a reflexive generalized inverse of a and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in \mathfrak{S} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) for n large enough, a_n has a reflexive generalized inverse a_n^+ with

$$a_n^+ \rightarrow a^+;$$

(2) $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ for n large enough.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $a_n \rightarrow a$, there exists an $N > 0$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $\|a_n - a\| \cdot \|a^+\| < 1$. By Lemma 2.4, $s_n = [e + a^+(a_n - a)]^{-1}a^+$ is a reflexive generalized inverse of a_n for $n \geq N$ and it is clear that $s_n \rightarrow a^+$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) If a_n has a reflexive generalized inverse a_n^+ such that $a_n^+ \rightarrow a^+$. Then $a_n^+a_n \rightarrow a^+a$. Hence, for n large enough,

$$\|a_n^+a_n - a^+a\| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a_n - a\| \cdot \|a^+\| < 1.$$

Since $e - a_n^+a_n$ and $e - a^+a$ are idempotents and $\|(e - a_n^+a_n) - (e - a^+a)\| < 1$, from Lemma 2.5, we have

$$(e - a^+a)(e - a_n^+a_n)\mathfrak{S} = (e - a^+a)\mathfrak{S}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1,

$$(e - a^+a)a_n^{-1}(0) = a^{-1}(0).$$

Now, we show $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. If $y \in a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0)$, then $y = a_nx$ and $a^+a_nx = a^+y = 0$ where $x \in \mathfrak{S}$. Hence, $a[e + a^+(a_n - a)]x = ax + aa^+(a_n - a)x = aa^+a_nx = 0$ which means that $[e + a^+(a_n - a)]x \in a^{-1}(0) = (e - a^+a)a_n^{-1}(0)$. Thus, there exists a $z \in a_n^{-1}(0)$ such that

$$[e + a^+(a_n - a)]x = (e - a^+a)z = [e + a^+(a_n - a)]z.$$

Note $\|a_n - a\| \cdot \|a^+\| < 1$, so $x = z \in a_n^{-1}(0)$. Therefore, $y = a_nx = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.1. In the linear operator case, Theorem 2.1 has been proved in [2,11].

Corollary 2.1. Let a^+ be a reflexive generalized inverse of a and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in \mathfrak{S} . If $a_n\mathfrak{S} \subseteq a\mathfrak{S}$ or $a^{-1}(0) \subseteq a_n^{-1}(0)$, then for n large enough, a_n has a reflexive generalized inverse a_n^+ with $a_n^+ \rightarrow a^+$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that for n large enough, $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. First, if $a_n\mathfrak{S} \subseteq a\mathfrak{S}$. From Lemma 2.2, $a\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Then $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Next, if $a^{-1}(0) \subseteq a_n^{-1}(0)$, then $(e - a^+a)a_n^{-1}(0) = a^{-1}(0)$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can get what we desire. \square

Lemma 2.6. *If a^+ and a^\oplus are two reflexive generalized inverses of a . Then $a^\sharp = a^+aa^\oplus$ is also a reflexive generalized inverse of a with $a^\sharp\mathfrak{S} = a^+\mathfrak{S}$ and $(a^\sharp)^{-1}(0) = (a^\oplus)^{-1}(0)$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that a^\sharp is a reflexive generalized inverse of a . Hence, by Lemma 2.1,

$$a^\sharp\mathfrak{S} = a^\sharp a\mathfrak{S} = a^+aa^\oplus a\mathfrak{S} = a^+a\mathfrak{S} = a^+\mathfrak{S}$$

and

$$(a^\sharp)^{-1}(0) = (e - aa^\sharp)\mathfrak{S} = (e - aa^+aa^\oplus)\mathfrak{S} = (e - aa^\oplus)\mathfrak{S} = (a^\oplus)^{-1}(0).$$

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.2. *If a^+ and a^\oplus are two reflexive generalized inverses of a and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in \mathfrak{S} . Then there exists an $N > 0$ such that for $n \geq N$,*

$$a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\oplus)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$$

if and only if

$$a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Set $a^\sharp = a^+aa^\oplus$, then a^\sharp is a reflexive generalized inverse of a with $a^\sharp\mathfrak{S} = a^+\mathfrak{S}$ and $(a^\sharp)^{-1}(0) = (a^\oplus)^{-1}(0)$. Put

$$\delta = (1 + \|a^+\| + \|a^\oplus\| + \|a^\oplus\|\|a^+\| + \|a^\oplus\|\|a\|\|a^+\|)^{-1}.$$

Then there exists an $N > 0$ such that for $n \geq N$, $\|a_n - a\| < \delta$. If

$$a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\oplus)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$$

for all $n \geq N$. Hence, $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\sharp)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. From Lemma 2.4, a_n has a reflexive generalized inverse a_n^\sharp with $a_n^\sharp\mathfrak{S} = a^\sharp\mathfrak{S}$. Therefore,

$$a_n\mathfrak{S} = a_n a_n^\sharp\mathfrak{S} = a_n a^\sharp\mathfrak{S} = a_n a^+\mathfrak{S}. \quad (2.1)$$

In the following, we shall show $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we only need to prove

$$(e - a^+a)a_n^{-1}(0) = a^{-1}(0).$$

If $x \in a^{-1}(0)$, then by Eq. (2.1), there is a $y \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $a_n x = a_n a^+ y$, i.e., $x - a^+ y \in a_n^{-1}(0)$. Hence, $(e - a^+a)(x - a^+ y) = x - a^+ a x - a^+ y + a^+ a a^+ y = x$. This implies that $a^{-1}(0) \subseteq (e - a^+a)a_n^{-1}(0)$. Clearly, the reverse inclusion holds. Thus, we have shown $(e - a^+a)a_n^{-1}(0) = a^{-1}(0)$. Therefore, $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$.

Conversely, if $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ for $n \geq N$. Set $a^\natural = a^\oplus a a^+$. Just as the above discussions, we can prove $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\oplus)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ for $n \geq N$. The proof is complete. \square

In the following, we shall give a sufficient and necessary condition for the lower semi-continuity of reflexive generalized inverses as set-valued mapping in a Banach algebra. For completeness, we introduce the definition of the lower semi-continuity of the set-valued mapping.

Definition 2.1 [16]. Let X be a Banach space and $g : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a set-valued map. The map g is called *lower semi-continuous* at the point $x \in X$ if and only if for every neighborhood $v(y)$ of every $y \in g(x)$, there exists a neighborhood $u(x)$ such that

$$g(u) \cap v(y) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } u \in u(x).$$

The above definition can be phrased as follows: given any sequence $x_n \in X$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$, $g(x) \subseteq \liminf g(x_n) := \{y \in Y, \text{ there exists } y_n \in g(x_n) \text{ such that } y_n \rightarrow y\}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let \mathfrak{S} be a Banach algebra with identity element e and $a \in \mathfrak{S}$ have at least one reflexive generalized inverse. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The set-valued map $g : a \rightarrow a^+$ is lower semi-continuous at a .
- (2) a has a reflexive generalized inverse a^+ and there is a neighborhood $u(a)$ of a , such that for any $b \in u(a)$,

$$b\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}.$$

- (3) a has a reflexive generalized inverse a^+ and given any sequence $a_n \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_n \rightarrow a$, there exists $N > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N$,

$$a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. It is obvious to see that (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). We only shall show (1) \Leftrightarrow (3). If $g : a \rightarrow a^+$ is lower semi-continuous at a , then for any $a^+ \in g(a)$ and any sequence $a_n \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_n \rightarrow a$, a_n has reflexive generalized inverse a_n^+ such that $a_n^+ \rightarrow a^+$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, there exists $N > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N$, $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Conversely, if (3) holds, then for any reflexive generalized inverse a^\oplus of a and any sequence $a_n \in \mathfrak{S}$ with $a_n \rightarrow a$, by the statement (3), we have that there exists $N > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N$, $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Thus, from Theorem 2.2, $a_n\mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\oplus)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ for n large enough. Using Theorem 2.1 again, we get that for n large enough, a_n has reflexive generalized inverse a_n^\oplus such that $a_n^\oplus \rightarrow a^\oplus$ which means $a^\oplus \in \liminf g(a_n)$. This implies that $g(a) \subseteq \liminf g(a_n)$. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2.2. Let $a \in \mathfrak{S}$ have at least one reflexive generalized inverse. If there is a neighborhood $u(a)$ of a such that for any $b \in u(a)$, $b\mathfrak{S} \subseteq a\mathfrak{S}$ or $a^{-1}(0) \subseteq b^{-1}(0)$, then the set-valued map $g : a \rightarrow a^+$ is lower semi-continuous at a .

Remark 2.2. Even the Corollary 2.2 seems to be new even in operator theory.

3. Continuity of the Moore–Penrose inverse in C^* -algebras

In this section, let \mathfrak{S} be a C^* -algebra with identity element e . Based on the results in Section 2, we characterize the continuity of the Moore–Penrose inverse in C^* -algebra.

Lemma 3.1 [5]. If a has a generalized inverse a^+ . Then a has a Moore–Penrose inverse $a^\dagger \in A$ defined by

$$a^\dagger = p^* p [e - (p - p^*)^2]^{-1} a^+ q q^* [e - (q - q^*)^2]^{-1},$$

where $p = a^+ a$ and $q = a a^+$.

Theorem 3.1. Let a^\dagger be the Moore–Penrose inverse of a and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in \mathfrak{S} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) for n large enough, a_n has the Moore–Penrose inverse a_n^\dagger with $a_n^\dagger \rightarrow a^\dagger$;
- (2) $a_n \mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\dagger)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ for n large enough.

Proof. It is clear to see that (1) \Rightarrow (2) comes from Theorem 2.1 since a_n^\dagger is also a reflexive generalized inverse of a_n .

Now we show (2) \Rightarrow (1). If for n large enough, $a_n \mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\dagger)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$, then a_n has a reflexive generalized inverse a_n^+ such that $a_n^+ \rightarrow a^\dagger$. By Lemma 3.1, we get that for n large enough, a_n has the Moore–Penrose inverse a_n^\dagger defined by

$$a_n^\dagger = p_n^* p_n [e - (p_n - p_n^*)^2]^{-1} a_n^+ q_n q_n^* [e - (q_n - q_n^*)^2]^{-1},$$

where $p_n = a_n^+ a_n$ and $q_n = a_n a_n^+$. Note $a_n^+ \rightarrow a^\dagger$, so $p_n = a_n^+ a_n \rightarrow a^\dagger a$ and $q_n = a_n a_n^+ \rightarrow a a^\dagger$. Hence, it is easy to see $a_n^\dagger \rightarrow a^\dagger$. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 has been proved in the case of linear operator in [2,8]. From Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 3.1, we can get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let a^\dagger be the Moore–Penrose inverse of a and a^+ be a reflexive generalized inverse of a and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in \mathfrak{S} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) for n large enough, a_n has the Moore–Penrose inverse a_n^\dagger with $a_n^\dagger \rightarrow a^\dagger$;
- (2) $a_n \mathfrak{S} \cap (a^\dagger)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ for n large enough;
- (3) $a_n \mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ for n large enough;
- (4) for n large enough, a_n has a reflexive generalized inverse a_n^+ with $a_n^+ \rightarrow a^+$.

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.2 seems to be new even in operator theory.

Corollary 3.1. Let a^\dagger be the Moore–Penrose inverse of a and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in \mathfrak{S} . If $a_n \mathfrak{S} \subseteq a \mathfrak{S}$ or $a^{-1}(0) \subseteq a_n^{-1}(0)$, then for n large enough, a_n has the Moore–Penrose inverse a_n^\dagger with $a_n^\dagger \rightarrow a^\dagger$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Corollary 2.1, we omit it. \square

Corollary 3.2. Let a^+ be a reflexive generalized inverse of a and $a_n \rightarrow a$ in \mathfrak{S} and $a_n \mathfrak{S} \cap (a^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$. Then for n large enough, a_n has the Moore–Penrose inverse a_n^\dagger defined by

$$a_n^\dagger = p_n^* p_n [e - (p_n - p_n^*)^2]^{-1} [e + a^+(a_n - a)]^{-1} a^+ q_n q_n^* [e - (q_n - q_n^*)^2]^{-1}$$

such that a_n^\dagger converges to the Moore–Penrose inverse of a , where $p_n = [e + a^+(a_n - a)]^{-1} a^+ a_n$, $q_n = a_n [e + a^+(a_n - a)]^{-1} a^+$.

Proof. It comes from Lemmas 2.4, 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. \square

4. The linear operator case

Now we are going to specialize the results of the preceding sections to the case of linear operators. We need a lemma which plays a crucial role in such specialization. We denote by $R(T)$ and $N(T)$ the range and the kernel of the linear operator T .

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a Banach space and \mathfrak{B} denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . Let $T_0, T \in \mathfrak{B}$ and T_0^+ be a reflexive generalized inverse of T_0 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $T\mathfrak{B} \cap (T_0^+)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$;
- (2) $R(T) \cap N(T_0^+) = \{0\}$.

We remark that the 0 in statement (1) denotes the zero operator on X (i.e., zero element in \mathfrak{B}) and the 0 in statement (2) is the zero element of X .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If $y \in R(T) \cap N(T_0^+)$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $y = Tx$ and $T_0^+Tx = T_0^+y = 0$. Since $\text{span}\{x\}$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of X , X has the topological decomposition: $X = \text{span}\{x\} \oplus M$, where M is a closed subspace of X . For any $z \in X$, $z = z_1 + z_2$, where $z_1 \in \text{span}\{x\}$ and $z_2 \in M$, we define the linear operator S on X as follows: $Sz = z_1$. Hence, for all $z \in X$, $T_0^+TSz = 0$, i.e., $T_0^+TS \equiv 0$. This implies that $TS \in T\mathfrak{B} \cap (T_0^+)^{-1}(0)$. Thus, by statement (1), $TS = 0$. Therefore, $y = Tx = TSx = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) If $R \in T\mathfrak{B} \cap (T_0^+)^{-1}(0)$, then there exists $S \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $R = TS$ and $T_0^+TS = T_0^+R = 0$. Hence, for any $x \in X$, $T_0^+TSx = 0$ which means that $TSx \in R(T) \cap N(T_0^+)$. Thus, by statement (2), $TSx = 0$, i.e., $Rx = 0$. We conclude that $R = 0$ since x is arbitrary in X . The proof is complete. \square

Now we specialize our results in the preceding sections to the operator case. For simplicity, we do it only in the case of the linear operators on Hilbert space and give some results which seem to be new in operator theory. In the following, we always suppose that \mathfrak{B} denotes the C^* -algebra of all linear operators on a Hilbert space H and T_0, T_n are in \mathfrak{B} with $T_n \rightarrow T_0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T_0 has at least one reflexive generalized inverse. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The set-valued mapping $G : T \rightarrow T^+$ is lower semi-continuous at T_0 ;*
- (2) *T_0 has a reflexive generalized inverse T_0^+ and there is a neighborhood $U(T_0)$ of T_0 , such that for any $T \in U(T_0)$,*

$$R(T) \cap N(T_0^+) = \{0\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let T_0^+ and T_0^+ be the Moore–Penrose inverse and a reflexive generalized inverse of T_0 , respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) for n large enough, T_n has the Moore–Penrose inverse T_n^\dagger with $T_n^\dagger \rightarrow T_0^\dagger$;
- (2) $R(T_n) \cap N(T^\dagger) = \{0\}$ for n large enough;
- (3) $R(T_n) \cap N(T_0^\dagger) = \{0\}$ for n large enough;
- (4) for n large enough, T_n has a reflexive generalized inverse T_n^+ with $T_n^+ \rightarrow T_0^+$.

Corollary 4.1. Let T_0^+ be a reflexive generalized inverse of T_0 and $R(T_n) \cap N(T_0^+) = \{0\}$. Then for n large enough, T_n has the Moore–Penrose inverse T_n^\dagger defined by

$$T_n^\dagger = P_n^* P_n [I - (P_n - P_n^*)^2]^{-1} [I + T_0^+ (T_n - T_0)]^{-1} T_0^+ Q_n Q_n^* [I - (Q_n - Q_n^*)^2]^{-1}$$

such that T_n^\dagger converges to the Moore–Penrose inverse T_0^\dagger of T_0 , where I denotes the identity operator on Hilbert space H , $P_n = [I + T_0^+ (T_n - T_0)]^{-1} T_0^+ T_n$ and $Q_n = T_n [I + T_0^+ (T_n - T_0)]^{-1} T_0^+$.

References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel, T. Greville, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1974.
- [2] G. Chen, M. Wei, Y. Xue, Perturbation analysis of the least squares solution in Hilbert spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 244 (1996) 69–81.
- [3] G. Chen, Y. Xue, Perturbation analysis for the operator equation $Tx = b$ in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 212 (1997) 107–125.
- [4] J. Ding, L. Huang, On the continuity of generalized inverses of linear operators in Hilbert spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 262 (1997) 229–242.
- [5] J. Ding, Y. Wei, Representation for Moore–Penrose inverses in Hilbert spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 14 (2001) 599–604.
- [6] R. Harte, M. Mbekhta, On generalized inverses in C^* -algebras, Studia Math. 103 (1992) 71–77.
- [7] R. Harte, M. Mbekhta, Generalized inverses in C^* -algebras, Studia Math. 106 (1993) 129–138.
- [8] Q. Huang, J. Ma, Perturbation analysis of generalized inverses of linear operators in Banach spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 389 (2004) 355–364.
- [9] J. Koliha, Continuity and differentiability of the Moore–Penrose inverse in C^* -algebra, Math. Scand. 88 (2001) 154–160.
- [10] J. Ma, On the necessary and sufficient conditions of the continuity of M–P inverses A_X^+ , Chin. Ann. Math. B 13 (1992) 251–256.
- [11] J. Ma, Rank theorems of operators between Banach spaces, Sci. China A 43 (2000) 1–5.
- [12] M.Z. Nashed, Perturbations and approximations for generalized inverses and linear operators equations, in: M.Z. Nashed (Ed.), Generalized Inverses and Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1976, pp. 325–396.
- [13] V. Rakočević, On the continuity of the Moore–Penrose inverse in C^* -algebra, Math. Montisnigri. 2 (1993) 89–92.
- [14] V. Rakočević, On the continuity of the Moore–Penrose inverse and Drazin inverses, Math. Vesnik. 49 (1997) 163–172.
- [15] Y. Wei, G. Chen, Perturbation of least squares problem in Hilbert spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 121 (2001) 177–183.
- [16] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.